

---

On 6 Oct 2016, at 12:03 PM, Mike King <[M.King@gov.je](mailto:M.King@gov.je)> wrote:

Andy

See email chain below.

Much as I hate involving Ministers in what is an operational issue, having read John N's email, I am at the end of my tether on this issue. Before I do that, can you have one last try at officer level.

For the avoidance of any doubt, EDTSC and Education have agreed a perfectly workable solution that underpins schools and community use of the proposed sports facility at St Peter - I outlined this to John in my email (in the chain below). This is being codified in SLAs [REDACTED] and is an approach that I understand acceptable [REDACTED] who would advise John N (if they had been instructed so to do).

With regard to John's second paragraph, neither department can, legally or in any other way, make commitments on behalf of schools, clubs and associations. What we have agreed is a form of monitoring, reporting and action that would address any shortfall in utilisation of capacity that is being provided FREE OF CHARGE by the operators.

Can I ask that you please try and use your influence to expedite the POA.

Best

Mike

Mike King  
Chief Executive Officer  
Economic Development, Tourism, Sport and Culture Department  
States of Jersey  
Tel: [REDACTED]  
Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** John Nicholson <[J.Nicholson@gov.je](mailto:J.Nicholson@gov.je)>  
**Date:** 6 October 2016 at 11:03:04 GMT+1  
**To:** Mike King <[M.King@gov.je](mailto:M.King@gov.je)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Scate <[A.Scate@gov.je](mailto:A.Scate@gov.je)>, Justin Donovan <[J.Donovan@gov.je](mailto:J.Donovan@gov.je)>, [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Sports Academy

Thanks for the up-date – all understood.  
We'd already agreed (back at the time of the Committee) that [REDACTED] would produce the first draft.

One of the other matters that needs to be clarified as early as possible is that the end users (the assorted sports clubs) are happy that EDTSC are making commitments on their behalf. The same will be true of Education making commitments on behalf of the individual schools – but there are already clearer ‘management lines’ within the “Education group” of users.

If the sports clubs don’t come and use it, they might just say that they never committed in the first place (as it isn’t them that are signing up). In my mind there might need to be an agreement with the assorted users setting out that they are content with the ‘parent bodies’ (Education and EDTSC) committing on their behalf. I think [REDACTED] needs this certainty too, or [REDACTED] runs the risk of the ‘intervention mechanisms’ being enacted.

I raised this in my original comments to [REDACTED], but I can’t see how it’s being worked on in the current framework.

*Regards, John*

John Nicholson BA(Hons) BPI MRTPI  
Principal Planner, Development Control

Department of the Environment  
South Hill, St. Helier, Jersey, JE2 4US

[REDACTED]  
[www.gov.je](http://www.gov.je)

The content of this correspondence and any other advice from an Officer or the Department is given in good faith, but without prejudice to the formal consideration of planning matters and any future decision. These decisions include, but are not limited to, formal planning applications. In all cases, formal decisions are subject to the full planning process, which may include public and statutory consultation. Consequently, the final decision on any planning matter may not reflect the initial advice given. The purchaser and/or vendor of a property transaction should not rely upon any such informal advice.



Think of the environment...do you need to print this e-mail?